## Case 1:12-cv-03419-GBD-HBP Document 406 Filed 11/07/14 Page 1 of 1



**New York** White Plains Plaza One North Broadway White Plains, NY 10601-2310

914-997-0500 Telephone 914-997-0035 Fax

610-941-2760 Telephone 610-862-9777 Fax

Pennsylvania

Four Tower Bridge

200 Barr Harbor Drive, Suite 400

West Conshohoken, PA 19428-2977

www.lowey.com

November 7, 2014

## **VIA ECF**

The Honorable George B. Daniels Daniel Patrick Moynihan United States Courthouse 500 Pearl Street New York, New York 10007-1312

> Laydon v. Mizuho Bank, Ltd., et al., No. 12-cv-3419 (GBD) (HBP) Re:

Dear Judge Daniels:

We represent Plaintiff and the proposed class and write in response to Defendants Barclays Bank PLC, Coöperatieve Centrale Raiffeisen-Boerenleenbank B.A., HSBC Bank plc, HSBC Holdings plc, and Société Générale's November 5, 2014 letter requesting leave to file a motion to dismiss for lack of personal jurisdiction. ECF No. 404.

The request for leave should be denied because these Defendants waived their personal jurisdiction defenses by: (1) not including it in their earlier Rule 12(b)(6) motion filed in June 2013; (2) actively litigating this case for ten months following Daimler; and (3) failing to file their personal jurisdiction motions three months earlier on August 7 when ten similarly situated Non-Stipulating Defendants filed their own personal jurisdiction motions. Defendants' suggestion that the Second Circuit's decision in Gucci Am., Inc. v. Weixing Li, 768 F.3d 122 (2d Cir. 2014) provides justification for their failure to earlier raise their personal jurisdiction defenses is meritless. Gucci did not announce a new rule of law—it merely restated Daimler. Furthermore, Gucci was issued seven weeks ago on September 17, 13 days prior to the September 30th oral argument held on the pending personal jurisdiction motions, at which Gucci was discussed extensively.1

If the Court is inclined to entertain briefing on Defendants' request for leave, the parties should not begin these efforts until after the Court issues its decision on the pending personal jurisdiction motions so that the parties will have the guidance of the Court in framing their arguments.

Respectfully submitted,

Counsel of Record (via ECF) cc:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Counsel for Defendant Shinkin Central Bank submitted *Gucci* as supplemental authority on the pending personal jurisdiction motions on September 19. See ECF No. 386.